# ≡≋envirocentre

# Kintore Hydrogen Plant Potential Roost Feature Survey



December 2023

# ≡≋envirocentre

# **CONTROL SHEET**

Client:Kintore Hydrogen LimitedProject Title:Kintore Hydrogen PlantReport Title:Potential Roost Feature SurveyDocument number:13665Project number:376782

#### Issue Record

Issue	Status	Author	Reviewer	Approver	Issue Date
1	Final	JEP	DB	DB	20/12/2023
2					

#### **EnviroCentre Limited Office Locations:**

Glasgow	Edinburgh	Inverness	Banchory
Registered Office	e: Craighall Business Park 8 Ea	agle Street Glasgow G4 9XA	
Tel 0141 341 504	10 info@envirocentre.co.uk wy	ww.envirocentre.co.uk	

This report has been prepared by EnviroCentre Limited with all reasonable skill and care, within the terms of the Contract with Kintore Hydrogen Limited ("the Client"). EnviroCentre Limited accepts no responsibility of whatever nature to third parties to whom this report may be made known.

No part of this document may be altered without the prior written approval of EnviroCentre Limited.

EnviroCentre Limited is registered in Scotland under no. SC161777.

VAT no. GB 348 6770 57.



# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

EnviroCentre Ltd. were commissioned by Kintore Hydrogen Limited to undertake Potential Roost Feature (PRFs) on trees within the site known as Kintore Hydrogen Plant, in land south of Kintore. The surveys were required to inform development of a Hydrogen electrolysis plant. The aim of the survey was to inform future development works in regards to ecological constraints pertaining to bats.

A Preliminary Ecological Appraisal was undertaken in May 2023 of the whole site boundary by EnviroCentre, where areas of woodland and individual trees were highlighted as requiring detailed surveys to identify PRFs and whether these required any additional works (elevated inspections).

The detailed inspection was undertaken on the 8<sup>th</sup> August 2023 and 10<sup>th</sup> October where a total of 36 trees were considered to offer suitability for roosting bats, with 7 trees identified as containing high suitability for roosting bats, 17 trees considered to offer moderate suitability for roosting bats and 12 trees considered to offer low suitability for roosting bats following detailed PRF inspections. No evidence of roosting bats was identified during the survey.

The site and surrounding area contain several features such as woodland, scattered trees, treelines, open water and riparian habitats, which are suitable for a variety of commuting and foraging bat species. The surrounding properties are also likely to offer suitable roosting opportunities.

It is considered that although the trees surveyed did not contain roosting bats at the time of survey, the low and moderate suitable trees have potential to provide suitable roosting resource for a small number of individual bats, and in time, the features present may develop to become more suitable for larger numbers of bats, whilst the high suitability features have potential to currently support larger numbers of bats.

If removal or management of the high or moderate PRF trees is required, further study perhaps including elevated inspection should be undertaken prior to works commencing to confirm the presence/ absence of bat roosts and inform any licensing requirements in advance of their removal.

Regular (annual) surveys should be undertaken to maintain ecological baseline and to ascertain if there has been any change to the status of PRFs within the trees and if bats are present/ absent to inform the need for any licensing or additional mitigation that may be required. More regular surveys (or once each season) may be required prior to works commencing on any trees to be felled/ removed with suitability to host roosting bats.

No bat roosts have been identified and therefore a protected species licence is not required.

Mitigation is recommended to ensure the trees remain a viable option for roosting bats in the future and to avoid disturbing any bats which may take up residence:

- A Species Protection Plan for bats should be devised for the site.
- The trees with PRFs should be retained and protected during construction according to the measures set out in British Standard BS5837: Trees in relation to Design, Demolition and Construction Recommendations (BSI: 2012), where possible.
- All staff on site should be made aware of the possible presence of bats within the trees and surrounding areas via a toolbox talk or site induction material provided by a suitably qualified ecologist.
- During construction works, it is recommended that retained trees and woodland habitats are not subject to artificial illumination. These habitats may be of value to foraging and commuting bats present in the locale. Lights used during construction should be fitted with shades to prevent light spillage outside the working area.

#### Contents

Exe	Executive Summaryi						
1	Introduction1						
	1.1	Terms of Reference	1				
	1.2	Background	1				
	1.3	Scope of Report	1				
	1.4	Legislation	1				
	1.5	Report Usage	2				
2	Meth	nods	3				
	2.1	Elevated Tree Inspections	3				
	2.2	Constraints	3				
3	Resi	ults and Site Assesssment	4				
	3.1	Results	4				
	3.2	Assessment	4				
4	Furt	her Survey, Licensing and Mitigation	5				
	4.1	Further Survey and Licensing	5				
	4.2 Mitigation						

### Appendices

A Trees with PRFs

#### Tables

Table 1: Suitability Classification of Roosting Features for Bats	3
Table 2: Potential Bat Roost Features in Trees	3

# 1 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Terms of Reference

EnviroCentre Ltd. were commissioned by Kintore Hydrogen Limited to undertake Potential Roost Feature (PRFs) on trees within the site known as Kintore Hydrogen Plant, in land south of Kintore. The surveys were required to inform development of a Hydrogen electrolysis plant.

The site boundary is detailed in Appendix A.

#### 1.2 Background

A Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (PEA) was undertaken in May 2023 of the whole site boundary by EnviroCentre, where areas of woodland and individual trees were highlighted as requiring detailed surveys to identify PRFs and whether these required any additional works (elevated inspections).

#### 1.3 Scope of Report

The aim of the survey was to inform future development works in regards to ecological constraints pertaining to bats. The main objectives were as follows:

- Confirm the suitability status of the trees for roosting bats;
- Determine the presence or absence of roosting bats in Potential Roost Features (PRFs); and
- Identify the need for a species protection plan and any licensing requirements.

#### 1.4 Legislation

Bats are a European Protected Species (EPS) listed in the EC Directive (92/43) The Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Flora and Fauna (the "Habitats Directive"), which is transposed into Scottish law through the Conservation (Natural Habitats &c.) Regulations 1994 (the "Habitat ns") as amended. Under this legislation it is an offence to deliberately or recklessly:

- capture, injure or kill such an animal;
- harass an animal or group of animals;
- disturb an animal while it is occupying a structure or place used for shelter or protection;
- disturb an animal while it is rearing or otherwise caring for its young;
- obstruct access to a breeding site or resting place, or otherwise deny an animal use of a breeding site or resting place;
- disturb an animal in a manner or in circumstances likely to significantly affect the local distribution or abundance of the species;
- disturb an animal in a manner or in circumstances likely to impair its ability to survive, breed or reproduce, or rear or otherwise care for its young;
- disturb an animal while it is migrating or hibernating; and
- possess, control, transport, sell or exchange specimens of any animal listed on Annex IV of the Habitats Directive. This applies to living or dead specimens and to their derivatives.

It is an offence of strict liability to damage or destroy a breeding site or resting place of such an animal. These sites and places are protected even when the animal isn't present. For example, if a bat isn't present in a summer roost in winter months the roost is still protected by law.

#### 1.5 Report Usage

The information and recommendations contained within this report have been prepared in the specific context stated above and should not be utilised in any other context without prior written permission from EnviroCentre Limited.

If this report is to be submitted for regulatory approval more than 12 months following the report date, it is recommended that it is referred to EnviroCentre Limited for review to ensure that any relevant changes in data, best practice, guidance or legislation in the intervening period are integrated into an updated version of the report.

Whilst the Client has a right to use the information as appropriate, EnviroCentre Limited retains ownership of the copyright and intellectual content of this report. Any distribution of this report should be managed to avoid compromising the validity of the information or legal responsibilities held by both the Client and EnviroCentre Limited (including those of third party copyright). EnviroCentre Limited does not accept liability to any third party for the contents of this report unless written agreement is secured in advance, stating the intended use of the information.

EnviroCentre Limited accepts no liability for use of the report for purposes other than those for which it was originally provided, or where EnviroCentre Limited has confirmed it is appropriate for the new context.

## 2 METHODS

Disclaimer: All guidance listed in the methods deployed was current at the time of field survey. These methods will be carried through to any further EcIA works to remain consistent.

#### 2.1 Elevated Tree Inspections

The detailed inspection was undertaken on the 8<sup>th</sup> August and 10<sup>th</sup> October 2023 by Doug Blease, Ecologist (Associate Director) and a licenced bat worker (NatureScot licence: 132875) who is experienced in searching for bat roosts in trees and a certified tree climber applying methods in accordance with Arboricultural Association/FASTCo Guide to Good Climbing Practice. Supported by Senior Consultant Ecologist Jennifer Paterson, an experienced and competent bat ecologist.

Features in trees, that bats could potentially utilise to roost and that were highlighted during the PEA undertaken in May 2023, were identified from ground level with the aid of close- focus binoculars and then investigated via digital endoscope and climbed to inspect further where necessary, to confirm suitability as listed in Table 1; and record any field evidence of bats as listed in Table 2.

Suitability	Trees
High	A tree with one of more potential roost features that are obviously suitable for use by larger
	numbers of bats on a more regular basis and potentially for longer periods of time due to their
	size, shelter, protection, conditions and surrounding habitat
Moderate	A tree with one or more potential roost features that could be used by bats due to their size,
	shelter, protection, conditions and/or surrounding habitat but unlikely to support a roost of high
	conservation status
Low	A tree of sufficient size and age to contain PRFs but with none seen from the ground; or
	offering features with only limited roosting potential
Negligible	A tree with negligible features which is unlikely to be used by bats

Table 1: Suitability Classification of Roosting Features for Bats

#### **Table 2: Potential Bat Roost Features in Trees**

PRFs in trees frequently used as roosts	Signs indicating possible use by bats
Hollows and cavities from woodpecker, rot and knot holes	Tiny scratches around PRF
Hazard beams and other vertical or horizontal cracks and splits in stems or branches	Staining around PRF
Partially detached plated bark	Bat droppings in or around PRF
Cankers, included bark and compression forks with potential	Audible squeaking at dusk or during
cavities	warm weather
Partially detached ivy with stem diameters in excess of 50mm	Flies around PRF
Bat or bird boxes	Smoothing of surfaces around cavity

#### 2.2 Constraints

Some of the trees within woodland adjacent to the site in an area proposed for the Hydrogen pipeline and gas grid connection did not undergo any elevated inspections due to accessibility issues (presence of cattle), however these areas have been afforded 30m buffers which the client has agreed will not be developed within, thus negating the need for any further surveys of those trees.

# 3 **RESULTS AND SITE ASSESSSMENT**

Refer to Appendix A: Trees with PRFs Plan for tree locations and areas where 30m buffers or areas to avoid will/ should be implemented.

#### 3.1 Results

A total of 36 trees were considered to offer suitability for roosting bats, with 7 trees identified as containing high suitability for roosting bats, 17 trees considered to offer moderate suitability for roosting bats and 12 trees considered to offer low suitability for roosting bats following detailed PRF inspections.

#### 3.2 Assessment

The site and surrounding area contain several features such as woodland, scattered trees, treelines, open water and riparian habitats, which are suitable for a variety of commuting and foraging bat species. The surrounding properties are also likely to offer suitable roosting opportunities.

No evidence of roosting bats was identified during the survey. However suitable roosting habitats are available to bats in the locale via the trees with PRFs on and adjacent to the site.

It is considered that although the trees surveyed did not contain roosting bats at the time of survey, the low and moderate suitable trees have potential to provide suitable roosting resource for a small number of individual bats, and in time, the features present may develop to become more suitable for larger numbers of bats, whilst the high suitability features have potential to currently support larger numbers of bats.

# 4 FURTHER SURVEY, LICENSING AND MITIGATION

#### 4.1 Further Survey and Licensing

If removal or management of the high or moderate PRF trees is required, an elevated inspection should be undertaken prior to felling commencing to confirm the presence/ absence of bat roosts and inform any licensing requirements in advance of their removal.

The results of this survey are considered to be valid for a period of 12 months. Regular (annual) surveys should be undertaken to maintain ecological baseline and to ascertain if there has been any change to the status of PRFs within the trees and if bats are present/ absent to inform the need for any licensing or additional mitigation that may be required. More regular surveys (or once each season) may be required prior to works commencing on any trees to be felled/ removed with suitability to host roosting bats.

If removal or management of the trees which could not be climbed is required, further study including an elevated inspection if appropriate, should be undertaken to confirm the presence/absence of bat roosts and inform any licensing requirements in advance of works.

No bat roosts have been identified and therefore a **protected species licence is currently not required**.

#### 4.2 Mitigation

It is considered that although the trees surveyed do not currently contain bat roosts, they have potential to provide suitable roosting resource for a small number of individual bats, and in time, the features present may develop to become more suitable for larger numbers of bats. The mitigation outlined below is recommended to ensure the trees remain a viable option for roosting bats in the future and to avoid disturbing any bats which may take up residence.

- A Species Protection Plan for bats should be devised for the site.
- The trees with PRFs should be retained and protected during construction according to the measures set out in British Standard BS5837: Trees in relation to Design, Demolition and Construction Recommendations (BSI: 2012), where possible.
- All staff on site should be made aware of the possible presence of bats within the trees and surrounding areas via a toolbox talk or site induction material provided by a suitably qualified ecologist.
- Should any bats be seen flying during the daylight hours, throughout the construction period, an ecologist should be contacted immediately for advice.
- During construction works, it is recommended that retained trees and woodland habitats are not subject to artificial illumination. These habitats may be of value to foraging and commuting bats present in the locale. Lights used during construction should be fitted with shades to prevent light spillage outside the working area.
- Any permanent lighting should be designed to be 'bat friendly' and should not illuminate bat commuting, foraging and roosting habitats including water bodies, woodland, treelines and scattered trees. Screening techniques and dark buffer zones are advised to reduce the impact on these habitats. Low or high pressure sodium lamps instead of mercury and metal halide lamps are preferred for their UV filtering properties, reducing light spillage and pollution. 'Warm white' lighting also reduces impacts of lighting on bats:

https://www.theilp.org.uk/documents/guidance-note-8-bats-and-artificial-lighting/.

- It is recommended that any vegetation planting should include a wide range of native species, including nectar producing plants which encourage invertebrates. The inclusion of linear vegetative features into the design would also help provide commuting habitat for a number of species.
- If any cavities are to be lost, they should be replaced using artificial bat roost and bird nest boxes within retained woodland on site. Durable bat boxes could be installed at >3m on maintained trees within the woodland, recommended products include: <u>https://www.wildcare.co.uk/wildlife-nest-boxes/bat-boxes/woodstone-woodcrete.html,</u> <u>https://www.nestbox.co.uk/products/eco-bat-box; https://www.nestbox.co.uk/products/eco-batshelter</u>
- The future development of the site may include, in its design and construction, purpose built
  and installed bat roost provision such as externally fitted bat boxes, bat bricks, or bat access
  slates on buildings in proximity to commuting corridors such as woodland edge habitat or
  riparian corridors. Further details can be sought here:
  <a href="http://www.bats.org.uk/pages/new\_build.html">http://www.bats.org.uk/pages/new\_build.html</a>.

# **APPENDICES**

# A TREES WITH PRFS





	814000	Legend Hydroge 30m Buff Trees to High Moderate Low	n Development / fer From Woodla be Avoided e	Area and
	(	Do not scale this map	)	)
	813500	Client Kintore Hydroge	n Limited	
		Project Kintore Hydroge	n Plant	
2 C	ĺ	Title		
Sec. 1		Trees with PRFs Page 2 of 8	i	
	( (	Status		
11/10			Final	
		Drawing No. 376782-GIS011	Revision -	Date 30 Nov 2023
14		Drawn JEP	Checked JAS	Approved JAS
ALL SE		<b>Scale</b> 1:6,000 @A3	0 30 60	120 180
	813000	Rev     Date       -     -	Amendment	-
nmunity		8 Eagle Street, Cra T: 0141 341	aighall Business Park, ( 5040 E: info@enviroce : www.envirocentre.co.	Centre Glasgow, G4 9XA. entre.co.uk uk



	813500	Legend Hydroge 30m Buff Trees to High Moderate Low	n Development / fer From Woodla be Avoided e	Area and
		Do not scale this map		
-		Kintore Hydroge	n Limited	
		Project Kintore Hydroge	n Plant	
		Title Trees with PRFs		
	813000	Page 3 of 8		
		Status	Final	
-		Drawing No. 376782-GIS011	Revision -	Date 30 Nov 2023
200		Drawn JEP	Checked JAS	Approved JAS
		Scale 1:5,000 @A3	0 25 50	100 150
2		Rev     Date       -     -	Amendment -	Initials -
naurity		8 Eagle Street, Cra T: 0141 341 W	aighall Business Park, 5040 E: info@enviroco : www.envirocentre.co.	Glasgow, G4 9XA. entre.co.uk uk



	814500	Legend Hydroge 30m Buff Trees to High Moderate Low	n Development / fer From Woodla be Avoided	Area and
	814000	Do not scale this map Client Kintore Hydroger Project Kintore Hydroger	n Limited	
		Title Trees with PRFs		
	13500	Page 4 of 8		
-	~		Final	
		Drawing No. 376782-GIS011	Revision -	Date 30 Nov 2023
		Drawn JEP	Checked JAS	Approved JAS
-		Scale		
1:6,000 @A3 0 30 60 120 180				
		Rev     Date       -     -	Amendment	-
nmunity		8 Eagle Street, Cra T: 0141 341 W:	aighall Business Park, ( 5040 E: info@envirocc	Centre Glasgow, G4 9XA. entre.co.uk uk





	815500	Legend Hydrogen 30m Buff Trees to High Moderate Low	n Development / ier From Woodla be Avoided	Area and
	815000			
	814500	Do not scale this map Client Kintore Hydroger	o n Limited	
X	814000	Project Kintore Hydrogel Title Trees with PRFs Page 6 of 8 Status Drawing No. 376782-GIS011 Drawn	Final Revision - Checked	Date 30 Nov 2023 Approved
		Scale           1:7,500         @A3           Rev         Date           -         -	Amendment	JAS 150 225 Metres Initials -
mmunüşy	813500	8 Eagle Street, Cra T: 0141 341 W:	aighall Business Park, ( 5040 E: info@enviroca	Centre Glasgow, G4 9XA. entre.co.uk uk





	813500	Legend Hydroge 30m Buf Trees to High Moderate Low	n Development / fer From Woodla be Avoided e	Area Ind
	813000			
	812500			
		Do not scale this map	)	
		Kintore Hydroge	n Limited	
		Kintore Hydroge	n Plant	
al made of	8			
HALL THE AND	8120	Trees with PRFs	5	
HAR WIND		Page 8 of 8		
SHILL BUT		Status		
CHARLES .			Final	
		Drawing No. 376782-GIS011	Revision -	Date 30 Nov 2023
1		Drawn	Checked	Approved
1.00		(JEY	JAS	JAS
and the second		Scale 1:9,000 @A3	0 45 90	180 270 Metres
A street in	8	Rev Date	Amendment	Initials
	8115		-	-
emmunity		8 Eagle Street, Cr. T: 0141 341 W	aighall Business Park, ( 5040 E: info@envirocc : www.envirocentre.co.	Centre Glasgow, G4 9XA. entre.co.uk uk